ΑD	1			

Award Number: W81XWH-07-1-0682

TITLE: Emergency Preservation and Resuscitation for Cardiac Arrest from Trauma (EPR-CAT)

PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR: Dr. Samuel Tisherman

Dr. Patrick Kochanek

CONTRACTING ORGANIZATION: University of Pittsburgh

Pittsburgh, PA 15261

REPORT DATE: October 2011

TYPE OF REPORT: Annual

PREPARED FOR: U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command

Fort Detrick, Maryland 21702-5012

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT: Approved for Public Release;
Distribution Unlimited

The views, opinions and/or findings contained in this report are those of the author(s) and should not be construed as an official Department of the Army position, policy or decision unless so designated by other documentation.

Form Approved REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE OMB No. 0704-0188 Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing this collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden to Department of Defense, Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports (0704-0188), 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number. PLEASE DO NOT RETURN YOUR FORM TO THE ABOVE ADDRESS. 1. REPORT DATE 2. REPORT TYPE 3. DATES COVERED October 2011 26 September 2010 – 25 September 2011 Annual 4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE 5a. CONTRACT NUMBER **5b. GRANT NUMBER** Emergency Preservation and Resuscitation for Cardiac Arrest from Trauma (EPR-W81XWH-07-1-0682 CAT) **5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER** 6. AUTHOR(S) 5d. PROJECT NUMBER 5e. TASK NUMBER Samuel Tisherman, M.D. Patrick Kochanek, M.D. 5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER E-Mail: tishermansa@ccm.upmc.edu 7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) 8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER University of Pittsburgh Pittsburgh, PA 15261 9. SPONSORING / MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) 10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S) U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command Fort Detrick, Maryland 21702-5012 11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S) 12. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for Public Release; Distribution Unlimited 13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 14. ABSTRACT During this fourth year of the project our efforts have continued to focus on the regulatory aspects of this clinictrial. We had already successfully obtained an Investigational Device Exemption from the FDA Center for Devices and Radiological Health Office of Device Evaluation and approval of the proposal from the University of Pittsburgh and University of Maryland Institutional Review Boards (IRB) for study enrollment, pending community consultation and public disclosure. Whave initiated this process in Pittsburgh. Wemet with the Pittsburgh Human Relations Commission. They made suggestions regarding the involvement of minorities in the community consultation process. We have placed a survey instrument in traumclinic and developed a phone survey for the community to comment on the study. We have also developed a simulation training methodology for implementing EPR. The Independent Data Safety and Monitoring Board conducted its annual meetiby conference call in December, 2010. We have completed the paperwork for the USAMRMC Human Research Protection Office to proceed with the formal Department of the Army review.

15. SUBJECT TERMS

Trauma, hemorrhagic shock, cardiac arrest, cardiopulmonary resuscitation, hypothermia

16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT		
a. REPORT U	b. ABSTRACT U	c. THIS PAGE U	UU	6	19b. TELEPHONE NUMBER (include area code)

Table of Contents

	<u>Page</u>
Introduction	1
Body	2
Key Research Accomplishments	3
Reportable Outcomes	3
Conclusion	3
References	3
Appendices	n/a

Introduction

Cardiopulmonary resuscitation (CPR) can save victims of normovolemic cardiac arrest (CA), e.g., ventricular fibrillation. During exsanguination CA from trauma, however, CPR, even with an emergency department (ED) thoracotomy and open chest CPR, doesn't work. *Emergency Preservation and Resuscitation (EPR)* was developed to rapidly preserve the organism during ischemia, using hypothermia, drugs, and fluids, to "buy time" for transport and resuscitative surgery. The purpose of this study is to test the feasibility of rapidly inducing profound hypothermia ($\leq 10^{\circ}$ C) with an aortic flush in trauma victims that have suffered CA and failed standard resuscitative efforts to enable resuscitative surgery and delayed resuscitation with cardiopulmonary bypass. The primary outcome variable will be survival to hospital discharge with minimal neurologic dysfunction.

Body

Scientific Progress

In December, 2009, we conducted the first meeting of the Data and Safety Monitoring Board. The group approved moving forward with the study. They recommended standardization of the transfusion protocols across sites, elimination of blunt trauma victims, and the use of Seldinger technique for aortic cannulation.

Given the complexity of our planned intervention for trauma patients in cardiac arrest, we need to optimize subject inclusion and exclusion criteria. The literature on such patients is scant, with studies focusing on mortality rates and crude information such as signs of life (pulse, breathing, spontaneous movements) in the field or emergency department and admission cardiac rhythm. To better define this patient population to optimize subject selection, we have initiated a retrospective study at several centers to look at other factors that could be quickly determined during the resuscitation of a trauma patient in the emergency department. This retrospective study should produce publishable data, although so far we have not obtained sufficient data to make any conclusions.

Separately, to better profile patients who die from trauma, we have initiated a study of the hemorrhagic shock database of the Resuscitation Outcomes Consortium, which studies prehospital care in patients with life-threatening injuries. We are in the process of analyzing this data, which should add to our understanding of potential candidates for EPR.

Administrative and Logistic Matters

The first regulatory step for proceeding with this study was to obtain an Investigational Device Exemption (IDE) from the Food and Drug Administration (FDA). Our trial is complicated by the fact that both fluids and equipment are to be used for an application that is not currently approved by the FDA. We have now obtained an investigator-sponsored IDE from the FDA Center for Devices and Radiological Health Office of Device Evaluation.

With the approval of the IDE, we were able to obtain approval for the proposal from the University of Pittsburgh Institutional Review Board (IRB) as both the coordinating center and participating site. Similiarly, the University of Maryland IRB has approved the study. Both IRB approvals are pending completion of the community consultation process. The investigators at the University of Pennsylvania had been working on agreements with their administration and their cardiothoracic surgeons regarding participation in the study. At present, these matters preclude their involvement in the study.

To begin the community consultation process, we met with the Pittsburgh Human Relations Commission. They made suggestions regarding the involvement of minorities in the community consultation process. We have also placed a survey instrument in trauma clinic and developed a phone survey for the community to comment on the study.

Because Drs. Tisherman and Kochanek are co-authors of a submitted patent for EPR Methods, the University of Pittsburgh Conflict of Interest Committee reviewed the plans for the trial and defined a plan to resolve the conflict so that these researchers could still be involved in the study.

Simultaneously, we have continued the process of human use approval from the USAMRMC. We have completed all the materials they need for their hierarchy to review the study.

Key Research Accomplishments

The most important accomplishments this past year have been the initiation of the community consultation process at the University of Pittsburgh. In addition, we have developed a simulation training strategy for the EPR team members to understand their roles.

We have continued to work on gathering the necessary historical data for the study.

Reportable Outcomes

As this year's efforts have focused on the regulatory issues, there has not been any new research data to report.

Conclusion

Most of the work so far on this project has been focused on the regulatory process. We have an IDE and approval from 2 IRBs. We also have successfully conducted an animal training session and a simulation training session. We now need to complete the community consultation and public disclosure process, as well as further training, so that we can be prepared to initiate patient enrollment in the coming year. We still need to obtain approval from the USAMRMC.

References

None